Introduction M-points M-approximation on toric varieties

M-points and adelic approximation on toric varieties

Boaz Moerman

Utrecht University

19 November 2024

Introduction

A central theme in number theory and geometry are local-global principles:

When can local points be lifted to global points?

Introduction

A central theme in number theory and geometry are local-global principles:

When can local points be lifted to global points?

For example, when can a solution of an equation over $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ be lifted to a solution in \mathbb{Z} ?

Introduction

A central theme in number theory and geometry are local-global principles:

When can local points be lifted to global points?

For example, when can a solution of an equation over $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ be lifted to a solution in \mathbb{Z} ?

The archtypical result in this topic is the Chinese remainder theorem.

Chinese remainder theorem

The Chinese remainder theorem is equivalent to the statement that the map

$$\mathbb{Z} o \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}/\rho_i^n \mathbb{Z}$$

is surjective for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and distinct primes p_i .

Chinese remainder theorem

The Chinese remainder theorem is equivalent to the statement that the map

$$\mathbb{Z} \to \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}/p_i^n \mathbb{Z}$$

is surjective for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and distinct primes p_i . Using the p-adics, this can be restated more elegantly:

Chinese remainder theorem

The diagonal embedding

$$\mathbb{Z}\hookrightarrow\prod_{p \text{ prime}}\mathbb{Z}_p$$

has dense image.

Geometric analogue

This has a natural geometric counterpart:

Chinese remainder theorem

The diagonal embedding

$$\mathbb{C}[t] \hookrightarrow \prod_{p \in \mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\llbracket t - p
rbracket$$

has dense image.

Which means that for $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in \mathbb{C}$ we can find a polynomial f having any desired values and derivatives at those points. (Include image.)

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Introduction} \\ \textbf{M-points} \\ \textbf{M-approximation} \\ \textbf{M-approximation on toric varieties} \end{array}$

Extensions

These results have been extended in two ways:

These results have been extended in two ways:

• By considering number fields and more general function fields. (Rather than \mathbb{Q} and $\mathbb{C}(t)$.)

These results have been extended in two ways:

- By considering number fields and more general function fields. (Rather than \mathbb{Q} and $\mathbb{C}(t)$.)
- Use different varieties than the affine line.

These results have been extended in two ways:

- By considering number fields and more general function fields. (Rather than \mathbb{Q} and $\mathbb{C}(t)$.)
- Use different varieties than the affine line.

These extensions lead to the notions of *weak* and *strong* approximation, and have been extensively studied over the last century.

These results have been extended in two ways:

- By considering number fields and more general function fields. (Rather than \mathbb{Q} and $\mathbb{C}(t)$.)
- Use different varieties than the affine line.

These extensions lead to the notions of *weak* and *strong* approximation, and have been extensively studied over the last century. In this talk we will extend these notions by imposing further arithmetic conditions (squarefreeness, coprimality, squareful, etc) on the points. This leads to the new notion of *M*-approximation.

In this talk I will characterize when M-approximation holds on (split) toric varieties, such as projective space. This will allow us to tackle questions such as:

Are the squarefree integers dense in the product of the "squarefree *p*-adics"?

As well as similar questions related to valuations.

In this talk, we work over a fixed **PF field** K. Such a field is either

- a number field, or
- a function field K = k(C) of a regular curve C over a field k. (No conditions on k.)

In this talk, we work over a fixed **PF field** K. Such a field is either

- a number field, or
- a function field K = k(C) of a regular curve C over a field k. (No conditions on k.)

On K a finite place v is

- a prime ideal in \mathcal{O}_K if K is a number field, or
- a closed point in C if K is a function field.

If K is a number field, then an **infinite place** v is an embedding $v: K \to \mathbb{C}$, up to conjugation, while a function field has no infinite places. We denote the set of places of K by Ω_K .

In this talk, we work over a fixed **PF field** K. Such a field is either

- a number field, or
- a function field K = k(C) of a regular curve C over a field k. (No conditions on k.)

On K a finite place v is

- a prime ideal in \mathcal{O}_K if K is a number field, or
- a closed point in C if K is a function field.

If K is a number field, then an **infinite place** v is an embedding $v \colon K \to \mathbb{C}$, up to conjugation, while a function field has no infinite places. We denote the set of places of K by Ω_K . Throughout the talk S will always be a nonempty finite collection of places including the infinite places.

For any place v, let K_v be the completion of K at v. If v is finite, we let \mathcal{O}_v be the ring of elements with nonnegative valuation.

Let X be a proper (e.g. projective) variety over K. An **integral model** of X is a proper scheme \mathcal{X} over \mathcal{O}_K or C such that $\mathcal{X}_K = X$. Such a model can be found by "clearing the denominators in the equations defining X". For example, $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is an integral model of $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

As \mathcal{X} is proper, any K-point lifts to an unique \mathcal{O}_S -point. Similarly, K_v -points lift to \mathcal{O}_v -points for every finite place v. We will use this to define special kinds of rational points, called M-points.

Local intersection multiplicity

Let D be a prime divisor on X with closure \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{X} . Let v be a finite place and let $P \in X(K_v)$.

The **local intersection multiplicity** $n_v(D,P)$ of \mathcal{D} and P at v is defined as the maximal $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that P reduces to \mathcal{D} modulo π_v^n , where π_v is a uniformizer of \mathcal{O}_v (such as p in \mathbb{Z}_p).

Local intersection multiplicity

Let D be a prime divisor on X with closure \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{X} . Let v be a finite place and let $P \in X(K_v)$.

The **local intersection multiplicity** $n_v(D,P)$ of \mathcal{D} and P at v is defined as the maximal $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that P reduces to \mathcal{D} modulo π_v^n , where π_v is a uniformizer of \mathcal{O}_v (such as p in \mathbb{Z}_p). If $\{f=0\}$ is a local equation of \mathcal{D} around P, then it follows that $n_v(\mathcal{D},P)=v(f(P))$ is the valuation of the value of f at P.

Geometric interpretation

In the function field setting, this agrees with the local intersection multiplicity between curves and divisors. (Include picture intersections here.)

M-points

Let D_1, \ldots, D_n be divisors on X and let $\mathfrak{M} \subset \overline{\mathbb{N}}^n$ be a subset containing $(0, \ldots, 0)$. Set $M = ((D_1, \ldots, D_n), \mathfrak{M})$. For a finite place v let

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{mult}}_{v} \colon X(\mathsf{K}_{v}) \to \overline{\mathbb{N}}^{n}$$

be the map given by

$$P \mapsto (n_{\nu}(D_1, P), \ldots, n_{\nu}(D_n, P)).$$

M-points

Let D_1, \ldots, D_n be divisors on X and let $\mathfrak{M} \subset \overline{\mathbb{N}}^n$ be a subset containing $(0, \ldots, 0)$. Set $M = ((D_1, \ldots, D_n), \mathfrak{M})$. For a finite place v let

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{mult}}_{\mathsf{v}}\colon X(\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{v}}) \to \overline{\mathbb{N}}^n$$

be the map given by

$$P \mapsto (n_v(D_1, P), \ldots, n_v(D_n, P)).$$

Then a point $P \in X(K_v)$ an v-adic M-point if $\operatorname{mult}_v(P) \in \mathfrak{M}$. Similarly, an point $P \in X(K)$ is an M-point over \mathcal{O}_S if $\operatorname{mult}_v(P) \in \mathfrak{M}$ for all $v \in \Omega_K \setminus S$.

M-points

Let D_1, \ldots, D_n be divisors on X and let $\mathfrak{M} \subset \overline{\mathbb{N}}^n$ be a subset containing $(0, \ldots, 0)$. Set $M = ((D_1, \ldots, D_n), \mathfrak{M})$. For a finite place v let

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{mult}}_{v} \colon X(\mathsf{K}_{v}) \to \overline{\mathbb{N}}^{n}$$

be the map given by

$$P \mapsto (n_v(D_1, P), \ldots, n_v(D_n, P)).$$

Then a point $P \in X(K_v)$ an v-adic M-point if $\operatorname{mult}_v(P) \in \mathfrak{M}$. Similarly, an point $P \in X(K)$ is an M-point over \mathcal{O}_S if $\operatorname{mult}_v(P) \in \mathfrak{M}$ for all $v \in \Omega_K \setminus S$.

We denote the set of v-adic M-points by $(\mathcal{X}, M)(\mathcal{O}_v)$ and the set of M-points over \mathcal{O}_S by $(\mathcal{X}, M)(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

Example: integral points

If
$$\mathfrak{M}=\{0\}^k imes\overline{\mathbb{N}}^{n-k}$$
, then
$$(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{M})(\mathcal{O}_S)=\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_S)$$

are the \mathcal{O}_S -integral points on the open $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{X} \setminus (\mathcal{D}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{D}_k) \subset \mathcal{X}$.

Example: M-points on projective space

If we take $X = \mathbb{P}_K^{n-1}$ and the divisors to be the coordinate hyperplanes, then for any finite place v and a point $P = (x_1 : \cdots : x_n) \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(K_v)$, the multiplicity map is simply $\text{mult}_v(P) = (v(x_1), \dots, v(x_n))$, if the x_i are taken to be coprime.

Example: M-points on projective space

If we take $X=\mathbb{P}_K^{n-1}$ and the divisors to be the coordinate hyperplanes, then for any finite place v and a point $P=(x_1:\cdots:x_n)\in\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(K_v)$, the multiplicity map is simply $\operatorname{mult}_v(P)=(v(x_1),\ldots,v(x_n))$, if the x_i are taken to be coprime. Using this description, we can explicitly describe the M-points on projective space, for any set $\mathfrak{M}\subset\mathbb{N}^n$.

Examples on projective space I

For example,

• if $\mathfrak{M} = \{0\}^n$, then

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1},M)(\mathcal{O}_S) = \{(x_1: \dots : x_n) | x_i \in \mathcal{O}_S^{\times}\} \cong (\mathcal{O}_S^{\times})^{n-1}$$

are the \mathcal{O}_S -integral points on the torus $\mathbb{G}_m^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Examples on projective space I

For example,

• if $\mathfrak{M} = \{0\}^n$, then

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1},M)(\mathcal{O}_S) = \{(x_1: \dots : x_n) | x_i \in \mathcal{O}_S^{\times}\} \cong (\mathcal{O}_S^{\times})^{n-1}$$

are the \mathcal{O}_S -integral points on the torus $\mathbb{G}_m^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

② if $\mathfrak{M} = \mathbb{N}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$, then

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1},M)(\mathcal{O}_S) = \{(x_1: \dots: x_n) | x_n \in \mathcal{O}_S^{\times}\} = \mathbb{A}^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_S).$$

Examples on projective space I

For example,

• if $\mathfrak{M} = \{0\}^n$, then

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1},M)(\mathcal{O}_S) = \{(x_1: \cdots : x_n) | x_i \in \mathcal{O}_S^{\times}\} \cong (\mathcal{O}_S^{\times})^{n-1}$$

are the \mathcal{O}_S -integral points on the torus $\mathbb{G}_m^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

② if $\mathfrak{M} = \mathbb{N}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$, then

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1},M)(\mathcal{O}_S)=\{(x_1:\cdots:x_n)|x_n\in\mathcal{O}_S^{\times}\}=\mathbb{A}^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_S).$$

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, M)(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(x_1 : \cdots : x_n) | x_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(x_i, x_j) = 1 \,\forall i \neq j\},\$$

as the points do not reduce to the intersection of two divisors modulo any prime.

We introduce some names for the M-points for special choices of \mathfrak{M} .

We introduce some names for the M-points for special choices of \mathfrak{M} . If $\mathfrak{M} = \{0,1\}^n$, we call the M points on (\mathcal{X},M) squarefree points. These can be viewed the points which only intersect the divisors transversally, as the intersection multiplicity at each place is at most 1.

We introduce some names for the M-points for special choices of \mathfrak{M} . If $\mathfrak{M} = \{0,1\}^n$, we call the M points on (\mathcal{X},M) squarefree points. These can be viewed the points which only intersect the divisors transversally, as the intersection multiplicity at each place is at most 1.

Let m_1, \ldots, m_n be positive integers.

• If $\mathfrak{M} = m_1 \mathbb{N} \times \cdots \times m_n \mathbb{N}$, then the M-points on (\mathcal{X}, M) are called **Darmon points** (for the given weights m_1, \ldots, m_n).

We introduce some names for the M-points for special choices of \mathfrak{M} . If $\mathfrak{M}=\{0,1\}^n$, we call the M points on (\mathcal{X},M) squarefree points. These can be viewed the points which only intersect the divisors transversally, as the intersection multiplicity at each place is at most 1.

Let m_1, \ldots, m_n be positive integers.

- If $\mathfrak{M} = m_1 \mathbb{N} \times \cdots \times m_n \mathbb{N}$, then the M-points on (\mathcal{X}, M) are called **Darmon points** (for the given weights m_1, \ldots, m_n).
- ② If $\mathfrak{M} = \mathbb{N}_{\geq m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{N}_{\geq m_n}$, then the M points on (\mathcal{X}, M) are called **Campana points** (for the given weights m_1, \ldots, m_n).

Examples on projective space II

Again, let $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and consider the points over \mathbb{Z} .

The squarefree points are

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, M)(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(x_1 : \cdots : x_n) \mid x_i \text{ squarefree}\}.$$

Examples on projective space II

Again, let $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and consider the points over \mathbb{Z} .

The squarefree points are

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, M)(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(x_1 : \cdots : x_n) \mid x_i \text{ squarefree}\}.$$

The Darmon points are

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, M)(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(\pm x_1^{m_1} : \cdots : \pm x_n^{m_n})\}.$$

Examples on projective space II

Again, let $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and consider the points over \mathbb{Z} .

The squarefree points are

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, M)(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(x_1 : \cdots : x_n) \mid x_i \text{ squarefree}\}.$$

The Darmon points are

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, M)(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(\pm x_1^{m_1} : \cdots : \pm x_n^{m_n})\}.$$

The Campana points are

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, M)(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(x_1 : \cdots : x_n) \mid x_i \text{ is } m_i\text{-full}\},$$

where we recall that an integer x is m-full if for every prime p dividing x, the power p^m also divides x.

Examples on projective space II

Again, let $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and consider the points over \mathbb{Z} .

The squarefree points are

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, M)(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(x_1 : \cdots : x_n) \mid x_i \text{ squarefree}\}.$$

The Darmon points are

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, M)(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(\pm x_1^{m_1} : \cdots : \pm x_n^{m_n})\}.$$

The Campana points are

$$(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, M)(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(x_1 : \cdots : x_n) \mid x_i \text{ is } m_i\text{-full}\},$$

where we recall that an integer x is m-full if for every prime p dividing x, the power p^m also divides x.

Similar descriptions exist for other rings (with trivial class group), by replacing the role of \pm with the units in the ring.

Introduction

M-points

M-approximation

M-approximation on toric varieties

For simplicity, we now assume every element in \mathfrak{M} has all coordinates finite. We also set $U = X \setminus (D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_n)$.

Let us now return to the problem considered at the start. In order to generalize the Chinese remainder theorem, we need an analogue of $\prod_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Let us now return to the problem considered at the start. In order to generalize the Chinese remainder theorem, we need an analogue of $\prod_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Definition: integral adelic M-points

Let $T \subset S$, the space of **integral adelic** M-points over S prime to T to be

$$(\mathcal{X}, M)(\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{S}}^{T}) := \prod_{v \in \Omega_{K} \setminus S} (\mathcal{X}, M)(\mathcal{O}_{v}) \times \prod_{v \in S \setminus T} U(K_{v}).$$

Let us now return to the problem considered at the start. In order to generalize the Chinese remainder theorem, we need an analogue of $\prod_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Definition: integral adelic M-points

Let $T \subset S$, the space of **integral adelic** M-points over S prime to T to be

$$(\mathcal{X}, M)(\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{O}_{S}}^{T}) := \prod_{v \in \Omega_{K} \setminus S} (\mathcal{X}, M)(\mathcal{O}_{v}) \times \prod_{v \in S \setminus T} U(K_{v}).$$

Using this language, we see that $(\mathbb{P}^1, M)(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^T) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $M = ((0:1), \{0\})$ and $T = \{\infty\}$ consists of the infinite place.

This space depends on the choice of S and the integral model however.

This space depends on the choice of S and the integral model however. If $S \subset S'$, then the adelic M-points over S embed into the points over S' as an open subspace. Using this we find a more intrinsic notion.

This space depends on the choice of S and the integral model however. If $S \subset S'$, then the adelic M-points over S embed into the points over S' as an open subspace. Using this we find a more intrinsic notion.

Definition: adelic M-points

The space of **adelic** M-**points** prime to T is

$$(X, M)(\mathbf{A}_K^T) = \bigcup_{S \subset \Omega_K \text{ finite}} (\mathcal{X}, M)(\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^T).$$

This space depends on the choice of S and the integral model however. If $S \subset S'$, then the adelic M-points over S embed into the points over S' as an open subspace. Using this we find a more intrinsic notion.

Definition: adelic M-points

The space of **adelic** M-**points** prime to T is

$$(X, M)(\mathbf{A}_K^T) = \bigcup_{S \subset \Omega_K \text{ finite}} (\mathcal{X}, M)(\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^T).$$

This space is independent of the choice of the integral model \mathcal{X} and contains U(K) as a subset.

M-approximation

Now we can finally define M-approximation.

Definition: M-approximation

Let $T \subset \Omega_K$ be a finite set of places. The pair (X, M) satisfies M-approximation off T if the diagonal embedding

$$U(K) \hookrightarrow (X, M)(\mathbf{A}_K^T)$$

has dense image.

M-approximation

Now we can finally define M-approximation.

Definition: M-approximation

Let $T \subset \Omega_K$ be a finite set of places. The pair (X, M) satisfies M-approximation off T if the diagonal embedding

$$U(K) \hookrightarrow (X, M)(\mathbf{A}_K^T)$$

has dense image.

This can be rephrased as follows: given a finite set of places S containing T and a point $P_v \in U(K_v)$ for all $v \in S \setminus T$, then there exist points $P \in (\mathcal{X}, M)(\mathcal{O}_S)$ which approximate all P_v arbitrarily well.

Special cases

If n = 0, so no conditions are imposed, then $(X, M)(\mathbf{A}_K^T) = X(\mathbf{A}_K^T)$ are the usual adeles on X and M-approximation is the same as weak approximation off T.

Special cases

If n=0, so no conditions are imposed, then $(X,M)(\mathbf{A}_K^T)=X(\mathbf{A}_K^T)$ are the usual adeles on X and M-approximation is the same as weak approximation off T. Similarly, $\mathfrak{M}=\{0\}^n$, then $(X,M)(\mathbf{A}_K^T)=U(\mathbf{A}_K^T)$ and M-approximation off T is the same as strong approximation off T for U. If (X,M) is the pair for Campana points, then M-approximation is the same as weak Campana approximation as considered by Nakahara and Streeter (2024).

All statements about projective space thus far naturally extend to (split) toric varieties, which are varieties X containing a torus \mathbb{G}_m^d as a dense open subspace, such that the action of the torus on itself extends to X. For the remainder of the talk, X will be a smooth toric variety.

All statements about projective space thus far naturally extend to (split) toric varieties, which are varieties X containing a torus \mathbb{G}_m^d as a dense open subspace, such that the action of the torus on itself extends to X. For the remainder of the talk, X will be a smooth toric variety.

Such varieties have homogeneous coordinates similar to projective space, but with a different multiplicative scaling.

All statements about projective space thus far naturally extend to (split) toric varieties, which are varieties X containing a torus \mathbb{G}_m^d as a dense open subspace, such that the action of the torus on itself extends to X. For the remainder of the talk, X will be a smooth toric variety.

Such varieties have homogeneous coordinates similar to projective space, but with a different multiplicative scaling.

A good family of examples are Hirzebruch surfaces

$$\mathcal{H}_r = \mathsf{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}).$$

All statements about projective space thus far naturally extend to (split) toric varieties, which are varieties X containing a torus \mathbb{G}_m^d as a dense open subspace, such that the action of the torus on itself extends to X. For the remainder of the talk, X will be a smooth toric variety.

Such varieties have homogeneous coordinates similar to projective space, but with a different multiplicative scaling.

A good family of examples are Hirzebruch surfaces

 $\mathcal{H}_r = \mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$. Points on such surfaces are described by a tuple (x:y:z:w) satisfying

$$(x:y:z:w)=(\lambda x:\mu y:\lambda z:\lambda^r\mu w),$$

for all units μ, λ .

All statements about projective space thus far naturally extend to (split) toric varieties, which are varieties X containing a torus \mathbb{G}_m^d as a dense open subspace, such that the action of the torus on itself extends to X. For the remainder of the talk, X will be a smooth toric variety.

Such varieties have homogeneous coordinates similar to projective space, but with a different multiplicative scaling.

A good family of examples are Hirzebruch surfaces

 $\mathcal{H}_r = \mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$. Points on such surfaces are described by a tuple (x:y:z:w) satisfying

$$(x:y:z:w)=(\lambda x:\mu y:\lambda z:\lambda^r\mu w),$$

for all units μ, λ . In particular, $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathsf{Bl}_{(0:0:1)} \mathbb{P}^2$.

Divisors and rays

Using the coordinates on a toric variety we choose $D_i := \{X_i = 0\}$ to be the vanishing locus of the *i*-th coordinate. (This way, the D_1, \ldots, D_n are exactly the torus invariant prime divisors.)

Divisors and rays

Using the coordinates on a toric variety we choose $D_i := \{X_i = 0\}$ to be the vanishing locus of the *i*-th coordinate. (This way, the D_1, \ldots, D_n are exactly the torus invariant prime divisors.) A toric variety corresponds to a combinatorial object called a fan, and this associates to every D_i the ray generator: a vector $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, where d is the dimension of X.

For example, for
$$\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$$
, these are $u_1 = \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, u_{n-1} = \mathbf{e}_{n-1}, u_n = -\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{e}_i$. (Add picture) For \mathcal{H}_r , these are $u_1 = (-1, r), u_2 = (0, 1), u_3 = (1, 0), u_4 = (0, -1)$.

The lattice N_M

Consider the surjective linear map

$$\phi \colon \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^d$$

defined by $\mathbf{e}_i \mapsto u_i$. The image of $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ in \mathbb{Z}^d generates a monoid N_M^+ and a lattice N_M by considering (nonnegative) linear combinations.

The lattice N_M

Consider the surjective linear map

$$\phi \colon \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^d$$

defined by $\mathbf{e}_i \mapsto u_i$. The image of $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ in \mathbb{Z}^d generates a monoid N_M^+ and a lattice N_M by considering (nonnegative) linear combinations.

These two invariants control much of the arithmetic of (X, M) and \mathbb{Z}^d/N_M can be viewed as some kind of fundamental group.

Theorem (B. Moerman), 2024

Let X be a smooth toric variety over a PF field K with D_1, \ldots, D_n the torus invariant prime divisors. Let T be a nonempty finite set of places.

Theorem (B. Moerman), 2024

Let X be a smooth toric variety over a PF field K with D_1, \ldots, D_n the torus invariant prime divisors. Let T be a nonempty finite set of places. Then

• (X, M) satisfies M-approximation if and only if $N_M^+ = \mathbb{Z}^d$,

Theorem (B. Moerman), 2024

Let X be a smooth toric variety over a PF field K with D_1, \ldots, D_n the torus invariant prime divisors. Let T be a nonempty finite set of places. Then

- **1** (X, M) satisfies M-approximation if and only if $N_M^+ = \mathbb{Z}^d$,
- ② (X, M) satisfies M-approximation off T if and only if $|\mathbb{Z}^d/N_M| \in \rho(K)$.

Theorem (B. Moerman), 2024

Let X be a smooth toric variety over a PF field K with D_1, \ldots, D_n the torus invariant prime divisors. Let T be a nonempty finite set of places. Then

- **1** (X, M) satisfies M-approximation if and only if $N_M^+ = \mathbb{Z}^d$,
- ② (X, M) satisfies M-approximation off T if and only if $|\mathbb{Z}^d/N_M| \in \rho(K)$.

Here $\rho(K) = \{1\}$ for global fields and "most" other fields. On the other hand $\rho(K) = \mathbb{N} \setminus char(K)\mathbb{N}$ if K is a function field of a curve over an algebraically closed field.

Theorem (B. Moerman), 2024

Let X be a smooth toric variety over a PF field K with D_1, \ldots, D_n the torus invariant prime divisors. Let T be a nonempty finite set of places. Then

- **1** (X, M) satisfies M-approximation if and only if $N_M^+ = \mathbb{Z}^d$,
- ② (X, M) satisfies M-approximation off T if and only if $|\mathbb{Z}^d/N_M| \in \rho(K)$.

Here $\rho(K)=\{1\}$ for global fields and "most" other fields. On the other hand $\rho(K)=\mathbb{N}\setminus char(K)\mathbb{N}$ if K is a function field of a curve over an algebraically closed field.

 $(\rho(K))$ is described in general in my preprint.)

Consequences: integral points

We summarize a variety of consequences.

Corollary

A toric variety U over a number field satisfies strong approximation off T if and only if it is simply connected, and it satisfies strong approximation iff additionally $\mathcal{O}(U) = K$.

Consequences: integral points

We summarize a variety of consequences.

Corollary

A toric variety U over a number field satisfies strong approximation off T if and only if it is simply connected, and it satisfies strong approximation iff additionally $\mathcal{O}(U) = K$.

While this was already mostly known by work of Cao, Xu (2018) and Wei (2019), the proof is different.

Consequences: integral points

We summarize a variety of consequences.

Corollary

A toric variety U over a number field satisfies strong approximation off T if and only if it is simply connected, and it satisfies strong approximation iff additionally $\mathcal{O}(U) = K$.

While this was already mostly known by work of Cao, Xu (2018) and Wei (2019), the proof is different.

Consequences: Campana points

Corollary

The squarefree points and the Campana points on a smooth toric variety both satisfy M-approximation over any PF field and any weights m_1, \ldots, m_n .

Consequences: Campana points

Corollary

The squarefree points and the Campana points on a smooth toric variety both satisfy M-approximation over any PF field and any weights m_1, \ldots, m_n .

The only case previously known here is by Nakahara-Streeter (2024), who proved this for Campana points on projective space over a number field.

Consequences: Campana points

Corollary

The squarefree points and the Campana points on a smooth toric variety both satisfy M-approximation over any PF field and any weights m_1, \ldots, m_n .

The only case previously known here is by Nakahara-Streeter (2024), who proved this for Campana points on projective space over a number field.

Proof.

Since ϕ is surjective, it follows that $N_M^+ = N_M$. Since both $m_i u_i$ and $(m_i + 1)u_i$ lie in the image for all i, $N_M^+ = \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Consequences: Darmon points

Corollary

The Darmon points on a smooth toric variety over a number field satisfy M-approximation if and only if there exist no finite covers $Y \to X$ of integral varieties only ramified over D_1, \ldots, D_n with ramification indices $r_i | m_i$.

Consequences: Darmon points

Corollary

The Darmon points on a smooth toric variety over a number field satisfy M-approximation if and only if there exist no finite covers $Y \to X$ of integral varieties only ramified over D_1, \ldots, D_n with ramification indices $r_i|m_i$. In particular M-approximation is satisfied if $\gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$ for all $i \neq j$ and this is sharp for \mathbb{P}^n .

Consequences: Darmon points

Corollary

The Darmon points on a smooth toric variety over a number field satisfy M-approximation if and only if there exist no finite covers $Y \to X$ of integral varieties only ramified over D_1, \ldots, D_n with ramification indices $r_i|m_i$. In particular M-approximation is satisfied if $\gcd(m_i, m_i) = 1$ for all $i \neq j$ and this is sharp for \mathbb{P}^n .

The only case previously known were for curves, by work of Christensen (2020) and Santens (2023).

Proof idea in general

Then we construct the point P approximating the v-adic points by letting the i-th coordinate of P be a product of powers of such prime elements, and we use the

We sketch the proof that (X, M) satisfies M-approximation off T when $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $T = {\infty}$ and $N_M = \mathbb{Z}^d$.

We sketch the proof that (X, M) satisfies M-approximation off T when $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $T = \{\infty\}$ and $N_M = \mathbb{Z}^d$. Let p_1, \ldots, p_s be distinct primes and N be a positive integer.

We sketch the proof that (X, M) satisfies M-approximation off T when $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $T = \{\infty\}$ and $N_M = \mathbb{Z}^d$. Let p_1, \ldots, p_s be distinct primes and N be a positive integer. For every $1, \ldots, s$, let

$$P_i = (u_{i,1}p_i^{v_i,1}: \cdots : u_{i,n}p_i^{v_{i,n}}) \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{Q}_{p_i}),$$

where $u_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{\times}$.

We sketch the proof that (X, M) satisfies M-approximation off T when $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $T = \{\infty\}$ and $N_M = \mathbb{Z}^d$. Let p_1, \ldots, p_s be distinct primes and N be a positive integer. For every $1, \ldots, s$, let

$$P_i = (u_{i,1}p_i^{v_i,1}: \cdots : u_{i,n}p_i^{v_{i,n}}) \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{Q}_{p_i}),$$

where $u_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{\times}.$ By multiplying everything (coordinate-wise) by

$$\prod_{i=1}^{s}(\rho_{i}^{-\nu_{i,1}}:\cdots:\rho_{i}^{-\nu_{i,n}}),$$

we can assume that $P_i \in \mathbb{G}_m^d(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i})$.

Now choose $\mathbf{m}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{m}_l \in \mathfrak{M}$ whose images generate \mathbb{Z}^d . These $(\mathbf{m}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{m}_l)$ give a surjective map $\mathbb{Z}^l \to \mathbb{Z}^d$, and thus induce a surjective map $(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{\times})^l \to (\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{\times})^d$. By combining this with Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions, we can construct such P explicitly.

Proof sketch (details)

To be precise: for each $1,\ldots,s$ want $C_{\mathbf{m}_1,i},\ldots,C_{\mathbf{m}_l,i}\in\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{\times}$ with

$$\prod_{k=1}^{l} (C_{\mathbf{m}_{1},i}^{m_{k,1}},\ldots,C_{\mathbf{m}_{k},i}^{m_{k,n}}) = t(u_{i,1},\ldots,u_{i,n}),$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{\times}$. By the surjectivity, such $C_{\mathbf{m}_i,i}$ exist.

Proof sketch (details)

To be precise: for each $1,\ldots,s$ want $C_{\mathbf{m}_1,i},\ldots,C_{\mathbf{m}_l,i}\in\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{\times}$ with

$$\prod_{k=1}^{l} (C_{\mathbf{m}_{1},i}^{m_{k,1}},\ldots,C_{\mathbf{m}_{k},i}^{m_{k,n}}) = t(u_{i,1},\ldots,u_{i,n}),$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{\times}$. By the surjectivity, such $C_{\mathbf{m}_j,i}$ exist.By Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions, we can find a prime $C_{\mathbf{m}_j}$ with $C_{\mathbf{m}_j} \equiv C_{\mathbf{m}_j,i} \mod p_i^N$.

Proof sketch (details)

To be precise: for each $1,\ldots,s$ want $C_{\mathbf{m}_1,i},\ldots,C_{\mathbf{m}_l,i}\in\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{\times}$ with

$$\prod_{k=1}^{l} (C_{\mathbf{m}_{1},i}^{m_{k,1}},\ldots,C_{\mathbf{m}_{k},i}^{m_{k,n}}) = t(u_{i,1},\ldots,u_{i,n}),$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{\times}$. By the surjectivity, such $C_{\mathbf{m}_j,i}$ exist.By Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions, we can find a prime $C_{\mathbf{m}_j}$ with $C_{\mathbf{m}_j} \equiv C_{\mathbf{m}_j,i} \bmod p_i^N$. Now we can take $P = (u_1, \dots : u_n)$ with $u_i = \prod_{k=1}^{I} C_{\mathbf{m}_k}^{m_{k,i}}$, which finishes the proof.

The proof for number fields in general proceeds similarly, where we replace Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions by the following lemma.

The proof for number fields in general proceeds similarly, where we replace Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions by the following lemma.

Lemma (B.Moerman), 2024

Let K be a number field and for all $v \in S$ let $x_v \in K_v^{\times}$. Choose a place $v_0 \in S$.

The proof for number fields in general proceeds similarly, where we replace Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions by the following lemma.

Lemma (B.Moerman), 2024

Let K be a number field and for all $v \in S$ let $x_v \in K_v^\times$. Choose a place $v_0 \in S$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist infinitely many (coprime) prime elements $p \in \mathcal{O}_S$ with $|p - x_v|_v < \epsilon$ for all $v \in S \setminus \{v_0\}$.

The proof for number fields in general proceeds similarly, where we replace Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions by the following lemma.

Lemma (B.Moerman), 2024

Let K be a number field and for all $v \in S$ let $x_v \in K_v^\times$. Choose a place $v_0 \in S$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist infinitely many (coprime) prime elements $p \in \mathcal{O}_S$ with $|p - x_v|_v < \epsilon$ for all $v \in S \setminus \{v_0\}$.

We also have some limited control over $|p - x_{v_0}|_{v_0}$, which is necessary for the first part of the theorem.

The proof for number fields in general proceeds similarly, where we replace Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions by the following lemma.

Lemma (B.Moerman), 2024

Let K be a number field and for all $v \in S$ let $x_v \in K_v^\times$. Choose a place $v_0 \in S$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist infinitely many (coprime) prime elements $p \in \mathcal{O}_S$ with $|p - x_v|_v < \epsilon$ for all $v \in S \setminus \{v_0\}$.

We also have some limited control over $|p-x_{v_0}|_{v_0}$, which is necessary for the first part of the theorem. This is a generalization of Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions, but it requires more sophisticated tools than Chebotarev's density theorem (if $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$), as that gives little control over the infinite places.

For global function fields, we prove an analogous result using similar methods. Over function fields over an infinite field, we prove a similar result using genericity arguments akin to Bertini's theorem/generic smoothness.







